

Lehrstuhl für Empirische Wirtschaftssoziologie

Prof. Dr. Monika Jungbauer-Gans

Intersectionality and contextuality in social inequality

"Putting the trias into context"

Dynamic-interactive models of social inequality conceptualize the interactions (e.g. "trias" gender x socioeconomic status x migration) as *configurations* which means patterns and interactions among elements that have paradoxical and conflicting meanings depending on specific

Data

Context ,school' (Gottburgsen & Gross)

- competencies in reading and mathematics on the basis of international PISAdata 2006
- multidimensionality:

context as a whole. "It is an empirical matter in any given context to see what concepts are important to the configuration of inequalities in discourse and in practice" (Marx-Ferree 2009: 8).

Theory and hypotheses

Intersectionality approach to social inequality

(e.g. Crenshaw 1989, McCall 2005, Winker & Degele 2009)

- 3 basic principles:
 - multidimensionality due to the multiple social positioning of individuals
 - intersectionality through intersections of different social group memberships at the same time
 - contextuality: interaction effects depend on and vary across social contexts

,contextuality':

Social context varies/limitates the effects of the trias

- main effects for gender (M/F), socioeconomic status (Low/High), migration status (Migrant/Native)
- Intersectionality: interaction of low socioeconomic status x male gender x migration background
 - compositional effects on reading ranking:
 FNH, FMH, FNL > FML, MNH, MMH > MNL > MML
 - compositional effects on mathematics ranking: MNH > MNH, FNH > FMH, MNL > FNL, MML > FML

Context, university' (Buche & Gottburgsen)

- chances of employment (full-time, with opportunity to qualify) in the scientific field on the basis of an online survey of employees of a German university (740 respondents)
- multidimensionality:
 - full-time employment:main effects for female gender, low socioeconomic status, not for migration status
 - opportunity to qualify: main effect for female gender, not for low socioeconomic status or migration background
- intersectionality :

gender x socioeconomic status x migration.

- -context ,school': (+)
- —context ,university': (—), (selectivity of migrants)
- -context ,labour market': +

Results

Trias gender x socioeconomic status x migration

- confirmed in the social context ,school'
- not confirmed in the social context ,university', but interactions of gender x migration x parenthood
- obvious in the social context ,labour market', but also evidence for effcts of age and parenthood

 no interactions of socioeconomic status x gender x migration, but for female gender x parenthood

Context ,labour market' (Gottburgsen & Sixt)

- research overview on key labour market indicators, e.g. monthly income, labour market participation, professional positioning, career prospects, risk of unemployment
- multidimensionality:
 - main effects of gender, socioeconomic status and migration proved by a range of studies, with negative effects for females, for persons with low socioeconomic status and migration background
- intersectionality:
 - a lack of empirical studies which include systematically interaction effects,
 - but some evidence for interactions of gender x socioeconomic status x migration,
 - other important dimensions age and parenthood

,Contextuality'

- confirmation of the third principle of the intersectionality approach
- social contexts limitate the effects of the trias, make other social

group memberships virulent

Outlook

Need for further research

- educational system, esp.regarding university entrance and degrees
- Iabour market: systematic inclusion of the trias in empirical studies

Anja Gottburgsen	References Buche, A./Gottburgsen, A. 2011: Migration, soziale Herkunft und Gender: "Intersektionalität in der Hochschule". Paper presented at "Soziale Ungleichheit in der Ein-wanderungsgesellschaft" (Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung/DGS Sektion
Faculty of Law and Economics	Migration und Ethnische Minderheiten 1011.11.2011 Ruhr-Universität Bochum).
University of Erlangen-Nuremberg	Gottburgsen, A./Gross, C. 2011: Welchen Beitrag leistet "Intersektionalität" zur Klärung von Kompetenzunterschieden bei Jugendlichen? In: Soziologische Bildungsfor-schung. Sonderband der Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und
anja.gottburgsen@wiso.uni-erlangen.de	Sozialpsychologie 2012. Ed. H. Solga/R. Becker. (Paper revised and resubmitted)
	Gottburgsen, A./Sixt, M. 2011: Ressource Bildung. In: Ressourcen im Sozialstaat und in der Sozialen Arbeit. Ein Handbuch. Ed. A. Knecht/FC. Schubert. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer. (in press)