

# Opening Presentation From Hypotheses to Estimands?

Josef Brüderl and Katrin Auspurg Department of Sociology University of Munich

Seminar "Analytical Sociology" Venice International University November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2022

### From Hypotheses to Estimands

- So far, empirical social research follows a "hypotheses approach"
- Recently, Lundberg, Johnson, and Stewart suggested instead an "estimands approach" (Lundberg et al. 2021)
  - Estimand: "the thing we are estimating"
  - "Our contention is that greater attention to estimands could revolutionize substantive claims and reorient methodological guidance."
- This presentation asks
  - Should we abandon the hypotheses approach?
  - Should we instead follow the estimands approach?

### The Hypotheses Approach

- We use one/several theories to "derive" hypotheses
  - H1: D affects Y positively
  - H2: A affects Y negatively
- Then we estimate the following regression equation

 $Y = \alpha + \beta D + \gamma A$ 

- $\beta$  is interpreted as effect of D on Y ("net of A")
- $\gamma$  is interpreted as effect of A on Y ("net of D")

### Problem I: What is Your Estimand?

- It is unclear, what the theoretical quantity of interest is
  - What does "affect" mean?
  - A descriptive association, a total causal effect, a direct causal effect, ... ?
- So, basically the estimands are regression coefficients
  - H1:  $\beta$  is (statistically significant) positive
  - H2:  $\gamma$  is (statistically significant) negative
- But it is unclear, which question we answer by this
  - "Productive scientific exchange is difficult when articles do not make clear what question was answered" (Lundberg et al. 2021)
  - "We're great at giving answers without knowing the question" (Felix Elwert)

## Problem II: Which Question Does Your Regression Coefficient Answer?

- The standard approach, where one regression is used to test several hypotheses, has serious drawbacks (Keele et al. 2019)
  - Regression coefficients may answer different questions
  - And it is unclear, which one
- For instance, if this is the causal structure of the data generating process

$$Y = \alpha + \beta D + \gamma A$$

- Then  $\beta$  estimates the **total causal effect** of D on Y (because we control for the confounder A)
- γ estimates the direct causal effect of A on Y (after controlling for the mediator D)

Brüderl/Auspurg, Estimands Approach

## Problem III: What is Your Identification Strategy?

- It is unclear, how the "effects" can be identified
  - Identifying assumptions are hidden
    - "Controls" are entered without any theoretical arguments
    - Often mediators are also controlled (overcontrol bias)
    - Sometimes conditioning on a collider (endogenous selection bias)

### The Estimands Approach

- Not a new method, not even a new methodology
- It is just a new style of doing/presenting research:

Be explicit on

What to estimate (the estimand)

The assumptions needed to identify and estimate the estimand

## Stylized Scheme of the Estimands Approach

- 1. Theoretical estimand: define the unit-specific quantity of interest
  - "Theoretical" because it does not refer to data
  - Discuss how the estimand relates to theory
    - Does the chosen estimand inform us about theory?
    - If one wants, one could state hypotheses about the estimand
- 2. Identification: link the estimand to observables in the data
  - This defines an empirical estimand
  - Discuss the identification of the empirical estimand
    - Here one needs theory about the data generating process
    - It is helpful to visualize it in the form of a DAG
  - Discuss the plausibility of the identification assumptions
- 3. Estimation: select a statistical model
  - Discuss how the model is able to provide an estimate of the estimand
  - Discuss estimation assumptions

### Example: Causal Survey Research

- We use a theory to derive a hypothesis
  - H: D affects Y positively

### **1. Estimand: Defining the theoretical estimand**

- The estimand is the total causal effect of D on Y



### Example: Causal Survey Research

#### 2. Identification: Link to an empirical estimand

 Our theory of the data generating process implies that we should control for confounder Z



– Therefore, our empirical estimand ( $\theta$ ) is the conditional NATE

$$\theta = E[Y^{1}|D = 1, Z] - E[Y^{0}|D = 0, Z]$$

- Note that the conditional NATE consists only of observable quantities
- Identification assumption: there are no other confounders

### Example: Causal Survey Research

#### 3. Estimation: Learn the empirical estimand from data

– Estimate the conditional means and plug them in the formula for  $\theta$ 

$$\hat{\theta} = \hat{E}[Y^1|D = 1, Z] - \hat{E}[Y^0|D = 0, Z]$$

- Could be done by stratification
  - No functional form assumptions needed, fully interacted
  - But problem with the curse of dimensionality
- It has become habit, to estimate the conditional NATE by regression

$$Y = \alpha + \beta D + \gamma Z$$

- $\hat{\beta}$  provides an estimate of the empirical estimand
- However, regression rests on strong assumptions concerning the data generating process, e.g., linearity with no interactions, normality, etc.

### Example: Age and Happiness (Kratz/Brüderl 2021)

- Mixed evidence on the age trajectory of happiness
  - Due to questionable identification and estimation assumptions
  - Different (implicit) estimands



#### Brüderl/Auspurg, Estimands Approach

### Example: Age and Happiness

- Theoretical estimand I (causal estimand)
  - RQ I: How does aging affect happiness?

ATE(a, a<sup>\*</sup>) = 
$$\frac{1}{n: l_i \ge a^*} \sum_{i=1}^{l_i \ge a^*} [Y_i(a^*) - Y_i(a)]$$

- Identification: no unobserved time-varying composition effects
- Estimation: Fixed-effects regression
- Theoretical estimand II (descriptive estimand)
  - RQ II: How happy are people (who are still alive) at different ages?  $\Delta(a, a^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(a^*) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} Y_j(a)$
  - Identification: no unobserved composition effects
  - Estimation: pooled OLS

### Example: Age and Happiness

- Results with SOEP data
  - RQ II: Flat curve, increase in golden ages, slow decline in old ages
  - RQ I: Steady decline, halt during golden ages, sharp decline in old ages



### Conclusion

- "So what is your estimand?"
  - "If you do not answer this question, you have missed an opportunity to clarify your contribution to knowledge."
- The estimands approach increases transparency and thereby credibility of (social) research
  - The estimands approach makes implicit decisions explicit
  - Therefore, it increases the transparency of scientific work
- Reluctance may stem from the fact that transparency lays open the weak points of ones research
  - Researchers should see this as a virtue, not as a deficit
  - Reviewers/editors should require this kind of transparency

### References

Auspurg, K., and J. Brüderl (2021) Has the Credibility of the Social Sciences Been Credibly Destroyed? Socius, 7, 1-14. <u>doi:10.1177/23780231211024421</u>

Blanchflower, D., and A. Oswald (2017) Do Humans Suffer a Psychological Low in Midlife? IZA DP No. 10958.

Keele, L., R.T. Stevenson, and F. Elwert (2019). The causal interpretation of estimated associations in regression models. PSRM 1–13.

doi:10.1017/psrm.2019.31

Kratz, F., and J. Brüderl (2021) The Age Trajectory of Happiness. PsyArXiv. doi:10.31234/osf.io/d8f2z.

Lundberg, I. (2022) The Gap-Closing Estimand. SMR. doi:10.1177/00491241211055769

Lundberg, I., R. Johnson, and B.M. Stewart (2021) What is Your Estimand? ASR 86: 532-65. doi:10.1177/00031224211004187

Pearl, J., and D. Mackenzie (2018) The Book of Why. New York: Basic.

### Structure of a paper following the estimands approach

- Section: Theory
  - Theoretical estimand
- Section: Data
  - Data (including target population)
  - Measures
- Section: Analytical Approach
  - Identification
  - Estimation